arXiv:1407.3593v2 [nucl-th] 9 Feb 2015

Description of Collective Motion in Two-Dimensional Nuclei; Tomonaga's Method Revisited

Seiya NISHIYAMA* and João da PROVIDÊNCIA†

Centro de Física, Departamento de Física,

Universidade de Coimbra, P-3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal

Dedicated to the Memory of Toshio Marumori

February 10, 2015

Abstract

Four decades ago, Tomonaga proposed the elementary theory of quantum mechanical collective motion of two-dimensional nuclei of N nucleons. The theory is based essentially on neglecting $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ against unity. Very recently we have given *exact* canonically conjugate momenta to quadrupole-type collective coordinates under some subsidiary conditions and have derived nuclear quadrupole-type collective Hamiltonian. Even in the case of simple two-dimensional nuclei, we require a subsidiary condition to obtain *exact* canonical variables. Particularly the structure of the collective subspace satisfying the subsidiary condition is studied in detail. This subsidiary condition is important to investigate the structure of the collective subspace.

Keywords:
Collective motion of two-dimensional nuclei; Exact canonically conjugate momenta

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: seikoceu@khe.biglobe.ne.jp, nisiyama@teor.fis.uc.pt
†E-mail address: providencia@teor.fis.uc.pt

1 Introduction

In studies of collective motions in nuclei, the very difficult problems of large-amplitude collective motions, which are strongly non-linear phenomena in quantum nuclear dynamics, still remain unsolved. How do we go beyond the usual mean field theories towards the construction of a theory for large-amplitude collective motions in nuclei? A proper treatment of collective variable was attempted. Four decades ago, Tomonaga proposed the elementary theory of quantum mechanical collective motion of two-dimensional nuclei of N nucleons. The theory is based essentially on the neglect of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ against unity [1]. Marumori *et al.* first gave a foundation of the unified model of collective motion and independent particle motion in nuclei and further investigated the collective motion from the standpoint of particle excitations [2].

Applying Tomonaga's basic idea in his collective motion theory to nuclei with the aid of the Sunakawa's integral equation method [3], one of the present authors (S.N.) developed a collective description of surface oscillations of nuclei [4]. This description is considered to give a possible microscopic foundation of nuclear collective motion derived from the famous Bohr-Mottelson model [5] (see textbooks [6, 7]). Introducing appropriate collective variables, this collective description was formulated by using the first quantized language, contrary to the second quantized manner in the Sunakawa method. Preceding the previous work [4], extending the Tomonaga's idea to three-dimensional case, Miyazima-Tamura [8, 9] successfully proposed a collective description of the surface oscillations of nuclei. As they already pointed out, however, there exist two serious difficulties still remaining in traditional theoretical treatments of the nuclear collective motions: (i) Collective momenta defined according Tomonaga's approach are not exact canonically conjugate to collective coordinates; (ii) The collective momenta are not independent from each other.

Very recently we have given *exact* canonically conjugate momenta to quadrupole-type collective coordinates and have derived a nuclear quadrupole-type collective Hamiltonian [10] (referred to as I). The *exact* canonically conjugate momenta $\Pi_{2\mu}$ to the quadrupole-type collective coordinates $\phi_{2\mu}$ is derived by modifying the approximate momenta $\pi_{2\mu}$ adopted by Miyazima-Tamura [8] with the use of the discrete version of the Sunakawa's integral equation [3]. We have shown that the *exact* canonical commutation relations between the collective variables $\phi_{2\mu}$ and $\Pi_{2\mu}$ and the commutativity of the momenta $\Pi_{2\mu}$ and $\Pi_{2\mu'}$ under some subsidiary conditions are satisfied. Using the *exact* canonical variables $\phi_{2\mu}$ and $\Pi_{2\mu}$, we found the collective Hamiltonian which includes the so-called surface phonon-phonon interaction corresponding to the Hamiltonian of Bohr-Mottelson model. Even in the case of simple two-dimensional nuclei, we have a subsidiary condition to obtain *exact* canonical variables. Particularly, we study the structure of the collective subspace satisfying the subsidiary condition. This condition is important to investigate the structure of the collective subspace. This is an interesting problem which is solved easily due to the simplicity of the two-dimensional nuclei.

In Section 2, we introduce collective variables ϕ_i in two-dimensional nuclei and approximate conjugate momenta η_i. In Section 3, we define the *exact* canonically conjugate momenta π_i and prove the commutativity of the *exact* collective momenta on the collective subspace. In Section 4, the *exact* π_i-dependent kinetic part T of Hamiltonian is derived. The ϕ_i-dependent kinetic part T of the Hamiltonian including the constant term is also given. In the last section, we discuss the subsidiary condition and give some concluding remarks. In Appendix A, we derive some approximate relations for the collective conjugate momenta which play crucial roles to determine the ϕ_i-dependence of the T.

2 Collective variables and associated relations

For the sake of simplicity, we focus on collective motions in the two-dimensional nuclei. The present illustration is oversimplified as far as we consider only the two-dimensional case.

Consider a two-dimensional nucleus consisting of N nucleons interacting strongly with each other. Let us denote the coordinates of n-th nucleon by (x_n, y_n) and by (p_{xn}, p_{yn}) their conjugate momenta, respectively.

The total Hamiltonian H of our system is given by

$$H = T + V = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_n^2}\right) + V(x_1, y_1; x_2, y_2; \cdots; x_N, y_N) \ , \qquad (2.\ 1)$$

where $T = 1/2m\sum_{n=1}^{N}(p_{xn}^2 + p_{yn}^2)$ is the total kinetic energy and V is the interacting potential depending only on relative coordinates of the nucleons.

Following Miyazima-Tamura [8], we introduce two collective coordinates

$$\phi_1 = \frac{1}{Nr_0^2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{1}{2}(x_n^2 - y_n^2), \quad \phi_2 = \frac{1}{Nr_0^2}\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n y_n \ , \qquad (2.\ 2)$$

where r_0 is defined as $r_0^2 = \frac{R_0^2}{2}$ and R_0 means the nuclear equilibrium radius. Those collective coordinates correspond to velocity potentials from which a surface collective-motion is derived. This is a low energy excitation most likely occurring in the two-dimensional nuclei. The collective variable ϕ_1 characterizes an ellipsoidal deformation with axis along the coordinate axis and ϕ_2 with axis at 45°. Their geometric interpretation is that the convenient canonically conjugate coordinate to the total angular momentum operator l, i.e., the second equation of (2. 6) is connected with the orientation of the axis of inertia and is given by $\frac{1}{2}\arctan\frac{\phi_2}{\phi_1}$. This was already pointed out by Tamura [9]. In a mean field description of the system described the the present model, two situations may be met, either at the minimum energy the expectation values of the operators ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 vanish or not. In the first case a vibrational regime prevails while in the second case it is the rotational regime which is favored. In the present model, it is expected that the vibrational regime occurs for lower mass nuclei, while the rotational regime is expected to arise for higher mass systems, the tendency for deformation and occurrence of a rotational regime increasing with the size. The general feature of the collective potential energy will be very much like a Mexican hat.

Following Tomonaga [1], we introduce collective conjugate momenta to ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 in the sense of Tomonaga through

$$\eta_i = \mu N r_0^2 \dot{\phi}_i = \mu N r_0^2 \frac{i}{\hbar}[T, \phi_i] \ , \ (i = 1, 2) \qquad (2.\ 3)$$

whose explicit expressions are given as

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \eta_1 = & \ -i\hbar\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} - y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) \ , \\ \eta_2 = & \ -i\hbar\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) \ . \end{aligned}\right\} \qquad (2.\ 4)$$

The commutation relations between these collective variables become as follows:

$$[\phi_i, \phi_j] = 0 \ , \ \ [\eta_i, \phi_j] = -i\hbar\frac{r^2}{r_0^2}\delta_{ij} \ , \quad (i, j = 1, 2), \ \ [\eta_1, \eta_2] = -2i\hbar l \ , \qquad (2.\ 5)$$

where r^2 and l are defined, respectively as

$$r^2 \equiv \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(x_n^2+y_n^2)\ ,\ l \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{N}(x_n p_{y_n} - y_n p_{x_n})\ . \tag{2. 6}$$

The mean square distance of the nucleon to the center of the nucleus is r^2 and its equilibrium value is approximately r_0^2 appearing in Eq. (2. 2), namely $\langle r^2 \rangle = r_0^2$, while l is the total angular momentum operator of the system in the original representation. From the second equation of Eq. (2. 5), an approximate commutation relation is derived as

$$[\eta_i, \phi_j] \cong -i\hbar\delta_{ij}\ .\ (i,j=1,2) \tag{2. 7}$$

Thus, we can get approximate conjugate momenta η_1 and η_2 to the collective coordinates ϕ_1 and ϕ_2. However, as is shown from Eq. (2. 5), the R.H.S. of the second equation does not take the value $-i\hbar\delta_{ij}$ and that of the third one does not vanish. Then from these facts, it follows that the variables ϕ_i and η_i are not canonically conjugate to each other. In the commutation relations (2. 5), we are allowed to consider r^2 as an intrinsic variable, in the sense that $\frac{1}{N}$ is small. The commutator of r^2 with η_i is of the order of $\frac{1}{N}$. Moreover, in Eq. (2. 5), r^2 appear divided by r_0^2 which is of order N, so $\frac{r^2}{r_0^2}=1$ to a very good approximation.

3 Exact canonically conjugate momenta

We will now derive exact canonically conjugate momenta to ϕ_i. For this purpose, following [9] first we introduce operators π_i defined by

$$\pi_i \equiv \frac{r_0^2}{2}\left(r^{-2}\eta_i + \eta_i r^{-2}\right). \ (i=1,2) \tag{3. 1}$$

Then, the operators ϕ_i and π_i satisfy the commutation relations

$$[\pi_i, \phi_j] = -i\hbar\delta_{ij}, \ (i,j=1,2), \quad [\pi_1, \pi_2] = -2i\hbar\left(\frac{r^2}{r_0^2}\right)^{-2}(l - \boldsymbol{L}_{\rm coll}) \ , \tag{3. 2}$$

where $\boldsymbol{L}_{\rm coll}$ is defined as

$$\boldsymbol{L}_{\rm coll} \equiv 2(\phi_1\pi_2 - \phi_2\pi_1) \ . \tag{3. 3}$$

The commutation relations (3. 2) have no ϕ or $\phi\pi$ terms in each R.H.S. and are very simple compared with those in I. Thus the present exact canonical momentum π_i is not self-recursive, as opposed to the fact that the exact canonical momentum Π_i in I is self-recursive, using the discrete integral equation. The term $\boldsymbol{L}_{\rm coll}$ (3. 3) also appears in the Miyazima-Tamura's collective description of a two-dimensional nucleus [8]. If the inhomogeneous term in the R.H.S. of the second equation of (3. 2) disappears, the operators π_i become independent from each other, i.e., $[\pi_1, \pi_2] = 0$ under the convecntion to conceive r^2 as constant c-number. We can prove on the commutativity of the operator π_i by requiring that the inhomogeneous term vanishes. Then, it turns out that π_i are *exact* canonical conjugate to ϕ_i. Due to this fact and the form of (3. 3), the quantity $\boldsymbol{L}_{\rm coll}$ can be regarded as a collective angular momentum operator. Therefore, it is concluded that the operators $\{\phi_i, \ \pi_i\}$ is a set of *exact* canonical variables, if we restrict the Hilbert space to the collective subspace $|\text{coll.subspace}\rangle$ which satisfies the subsidiary condition

$$(l - \boldsymbol{L}_{\rm coll})|\text{coll.subspace}\rangle = 0, \ \ [\pi_1, \pi_2]\,|\text{coll.subspace}\rangle = 0. \tag{3. 4}$$

This condition is very reasonable and also implies that our collective variables are the good ones in the collective subspace. This kind of subsidiary condition also appears in I.

The old variable η_i and the new one π_i (3. 1) are mutually related with π_i and η_i, with ϕ_i as

$$\eta_i = r_0^{-2}r^2\pi_i - i\hbar 2r_0^2 r^{-2}\phi_i, \quad \pi_i = r_0^2 r^{-2}\eta_i + i\hbar 2r_0^4 r^{-4}\phi_i, \ (i=1,2) \tag{3. 5}$$

where we have used the relations

$$\left[\eta_i, r^{2n}\right] = -i\hbar 4n r_0^2 r^{2(n-1)}\phi_i, \quad \left[\pi_i, r^{2n}\right] = -i\hbar 4n r_0^4 r^{2(n-2)}\phi_i, \ (i=1,2). \tag{3. 6}$$

The commutation relations between the variables η_i and a kinetic operator T are calculated as

$$[\eta_1, T] = -i\hbar\frac{\hbar^2}{\mu}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_n^2}\right), \ \ [\eta_2, T] = -i\hbar\frac{\hbar^2}{\mu}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right), \tag{3. 7}$$

and the commutator $[T, r^{-2}]$ is given as

$$[T, r^{-2}] = \frac{2\hbar^2}{\mu N r^4}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) + \frac{2\hbar^2}{\mu r^4}\left(1 - \frac{2}{N}\right), \tag{3. 8}$$

together with the commutators below

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \left[[\eta_i, T], r^{-2}\right] &= -\frac{4\hbar^2}{\mu N r^4}\eta_i - i\hbar\frac{16\hbar^2 r_0^2}{\mu N r^6}\phi_i, \\ \left[[T, r^{-2}], \eta_i\right] &= -i\hbar\frac{16\hbar^2 r_0^2}{\mu N r^6}\phi_i\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} + y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) - i\hbar\frac{16\hbar^2 r_0^2}{\mu r^6}\left(1 - \frac{2}{N}\right)\phi_i. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{3. 9}$$

We here briefly discuss the algebraic foundations of the present collective theory. The set of nine operators $\{T$ (2.1), ϕ_i (2.2), η_i (2.4) and $[\eta_i, T]$ (3.7) (i=1, 2), r^2 and l (2.6)$\}$ without multiplication factors and the operator $\sum_n(x_n p_{x_n} + y_n p_{y_n})$ span the 10 dimensional symplectic algebra $sp(2, R)$. All of these ten generators and the commutator (3. 8) and the two commutators (3. 9) play important roles in the next Section to derive a collective Hamiltonian.

4 π_i- and ϕ_i- dependence of kinetic part of Hamiltonian

4.1 π_i-dependence of kinetic part of Hamiltonian

In this subsection, a remaining task is to express the kinetic part T of the Hamiltonian in terms of ϕ_i and π_i. Along the same procedure as the one in I, we first investigate π_i-dependence of T. For this purpose, we expand it in a power series of the exact canonical conjugate momenta π_i as follows:

$$T = T^{(0)}(\phi; r^2) + \sum_{i=1}^{2} T_i^{(1)}(\phi; r^2)\pi_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} T_{ij}^{(2)}(\phi; r^2)\pi_i r^{-2}\pi_j r^2 + \cdots , \tag{4. 1}$$

where $T_{ij}^{(n)}(\phi; r^2)$ are unknown expansion coefficients. In order to get the explicit expression for $T^n (n \neq 0)$, using the commutation relation $[\pi_i, \phi_j] = -i\hbar\delta_{ij}$, we take the commutators with ϕ_i in the following way:

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &[T, \phi_i] = -i\hbar T_i^{(1)}(\phi; r^2),\ (i = 1, 2) \\ &[[T, \phi_i], \phi_j] = (-i\hbar)^2 2T_{ij}^{(2)}(\phi; r^2),\ (i, j = 1, 2) \\ &[[[T, \phi_i], \phi_j], \phi_k] = (-i\hbar)^3 6T_{ijk}^{(2)}(\phi; r^2),\ (i, j, k = 1, 2), \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{4. 2}$$

We can easily calculate the L.H.S. of (4. 2) by making explicit use of the definitions (2. 2) and (3. 1) and by taking commutators with ϕ_i successively:

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &[T, \phi_i] = -i\hbar\frac{1}{\mu N r_0^2}\eta_i = -i\hbar\frac{1}{2\mu N r_0^4}(r^2\pi_i + \pi_i r^2),\ (i = 1, 2) \\ &[[T, \phi_i], \phi_j] = (i\hbar)^2\frac{r^2}{\mu N r_0^4}\delta_{ij},\ (i, j = 1, 2) \\ &[[[T, \phi_i], \phi_j], \phi_k] = 0,\ (i, j, k = 1, 2) \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{4. 3}$$

Comparing (4. 3) with (4. 2), the $T^{(n)}$ are determined as

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &T_i^{(1)}(\phi; r^2) = 0, \\ &T_{ij}^{(2)}(\phi; r^2) = T_{ji}^{(2)}(\phi; r^2) = \frac{r^2}{2\mu N r_0^4}\delta_{ij}, \\ &T^{(n)}(\phi; r^2) = 0,\ (n \geq 3) \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{4. 4}$$

in which it should be noted that $T^{(2)}$ has no ϕ term. This result brings the essential difference from the corresponding one in I. Substituting (4. 4) into (4. 1) and using the second relation of (3. 6), we can get the exact π_i-dependence of the kinetic part T of Hamiltoniasn as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T &= T^{(0)}(\phi; r^2) + \frac{1}{2\mu N r_0^4}\sum_{i=1}^{2} r^2\pi_j r^{-2}\pi_i r^2 \\ &= T^{(0)}(\phi; r^2) - \frac{4\hbar^2}{\mu N r^2} + \frac{r^2}{2\mu N r_0^4}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\pi_i\pi_i + \frac{16\hbar^2 r_0^4}{\mu N r^6}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i - i\hbar\frac{2}{\mu N r^2}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\pi_i, \end{aligned} \tag{4. 5}$$

in which the third term is the kinetic energy part of the two-dimensional collective motion in nuclei given by Miyazima-Tamura [8]. The last two terms do not appear in Miyazima-Tamura's work because they adopt the canonical transformation method together with the subsidiary condition. These terms are regarded as a harmonic potential and an anharmonic self-coupling, respectively, for each collective motion.

Finally, we should stress the fact that up to this stage, all the expressions are exact.

4.2 ϕ_i-dependence of kinetic part of Hamiltonian

Our next task is to determine the term $T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2)$. For this purpose, we expand it in a power series of the collective coordinates ϕ_j in the form

$$T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2)=C_0(r^2)+\sum_{i=1}^{2}C_{1i}(r^2)\phi_i+\sum_{i,j=1}^{2}C_{2ij}(r^2)\phi_i\phi_j+\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2}C_{3ijk}(r^2)\phi_i\phi_j\phi_k+\cdots, \quad (4.\ 6)$$

where $C_{2ij}(r^2)=C_{2ji}(r^2)$, etc. In the above, the expansion coefficients $C_n(r^2)$ are determined in a manner quite similar to the one used before.

First, using (4. 5), we have the commutation relation between the operator π_i and $T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\pi_i, T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2)\right] &= [\pi_i,T]+i\hbar\frac{48\hbar^2r_0^4}{\mu Nr^6}\phi_i-i\hbar\frac{192\hbar^2r_0^8}{\mu Nr^{10}}\phi_i\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j \\ &\quad+\frac{2\hbar^2}{\mu Nr^2}\pi_i-\frac{8\hbar^2r_0^4}{\mu Nr^6}\phi_i\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\pi_j+i\hbar\frac{2}{\mu Nr^2}\phi_i\sum_{j=1}^{2}\pi_j\pi_j,\ (i=1,2)\end{aligned} \quad (4.\ 7)$$

in which we have used the first relation of (3. 2) and the second relation of (3. 6). The first commutator $[\pi_i,T]$ in (4. 7) is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}&[\pi_i,T]=\frac{r_0^2}{2}[r^{-2}\eta_i+\eta_ir^{-2},T]=r_0^2r^{-2}[\eta_i,T]-r_0^2[T,r^{-2}]\eta_i+\frac{r_0^2}{2}[[\eta_i,T],r^{-2}]+\frac{r_0^2}{2}[[T,r^{-2}],\eta_i] \\ &=r_0^2r^{-2}[\eta_i,T]-\frac{\hbar^2r_0^2}{2\mu}\Bigg\{\frac{4}{N}r^{-4}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\eta_i+i\hbar\frac{16}{N}r_0^2r^{-6}\phi_i\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) \\ &\quad+4\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)r^{-4}\eta_i+i\hbar16\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)r_0^2r^{-6}\phi_i\Bigg\},\ (i=1,2)\end{aligned} \quad (4.\ 8)$$

where we have used the commutators (3. 8) and (3. 9). Substituting (4. 8) into (4. 7) and the second equation of (3. 5), we can get $\left[\pi_i,T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2)\right]$ as

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[\pi_i,T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2)\right] \\ &=r_0^2r^{-2}[\eta_i,T]-\frac{\hbar^2r_0^2}{2\mu}\Bigg\{\frac{4}{N}r^{-4}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\eta_i+i\hbar\frac{16}{N}r_0^2r^{-6}\phi_i\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) \\ &\quad+4\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)r^{-4}\eta_i+i\hbar16\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)r_0^2r^{-6}\phi_i\Bigg\} \\ &+\frac{\hbar^2r_0^2}{2\mu}\Bigg\{i\hbar\frac{96}{N}r_0^2r^{-6}\phi_i-i\hbar\frac{384}{N}r_0^6r^{-10}\phi_i\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j+\frac{4}{N}r^{-4}\eta_i+i\hbar\frac{8}{N}r_0^2r^{-6}\phi_i \\ &-\frac{16}{N}r_0^2r^{-6}\phi_i\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\pi_j\Bigg\}+i\hbar\frac{2}{\mu Nr^2}\phi_i\sum_{j=1}^{2}\pi_j\pi_j.\ (i=1,2)\end{aligned} \quad (4.\ 9)$$

To carry further computation of (4. 9), the following approximate relations play crucial roles:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\eta_i\approx\eta_i+f(N)\eta_i+\frac{\mu}{\hbar^2}\frac{1}{2}Nr^2\,[\eta_i,T]-i\hbar Nr_0^2\phi_i\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_n^2}\right), \quad (4.\ 10)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\pi_j\approx-i\hbar\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)+i\hbar2r_0^4r^{-4}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j, \quad (4.\ 11)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\pi_j\pi_j&\approx\hbar^24r_0^4r^{-4}-\hbar^220r_0^8r^{-8}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j \\ &+\hbar^22r_0^4r^{-4}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)-\hbar^2Nr_0^4r^{-2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_n^2}\right),\end{aligned} \quad (4.\ 12)$$

where we we have used another approximate relation and an approximate mean-value

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\eta_j \approx -i\hbar\frac{1}{2}r_0^{-2}r^2\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right),\ \ \sum_{n=1}^{N}\langle x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\rangle = f(N), \quad (4.\ 13)$$

where the average $\langle\cdot\rangle$ is taken on the collective subspace $|\mathrm{coll.subspace}\rangle$. The unknown function $f(N)$ is determined later. A derivation of equation (4. 10) is given in detail in Appendix A. Substititing (4. 10), (4. 11) and (4. 12) into (4. 9), we reach the following final result:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\pi_i, T^{(0)}(\phi; r^2)\right] \\
&= r_0^2 r^{-2}[\eta_i, T] - \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\Bigg[\frac{4}{N}r^{-4}\left\{\eta_i + f(N)\eta_i + \frac{\mu}{\hbar^2}\frac{1}{2}Nr^2\,[\eta_i, T] - i\hbar N r_0^2\phi_i\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_n^2}\right)\right\} \\
&+ i\hbar\frac{16}{N}r_0^2 r^{-6}\phi_i\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) + 4\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)r^{-4}\eta_i + i\hbar 16\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)r_0^2 r^{-6}\phi_i\Bigg] \\
&+ \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\Bigg[i\hbar\frac{96}{N}r_0^2 r^{-6}\phi_i - i\hbar\frac{384}{N}r_0^6 r^{-10}\phi_i\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j + \frac{4}{N}r^{-4}\eta_i + i\hbar\frac{8}{N}r_0^2 r^{-6}\phi_i \\
&- \frac{16}{N}r_0^2 r^{-6}\phi_i\left\{-i\hbar\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) + i\hbar 2 r_0^4 r^{-4}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j\right\}\Bigg] \qquad (4.\ 14) \\
&+ i\hbar\frac{2}{\mu N r^2}\phi_i\Bigg\{\hbar^2 4 r_0^4 r^{-4} - \hbar^2 20 r_0^8 r^{-8}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j - \hbar^2 N r_0^4 r^{-2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_n^2}\right) \\
&+ \hbar^2 2 r_0^4 r^{-4}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\Bigg\} \\
&= -\frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}4r^{-4}\left\{\frac{1}{N}f(N)+\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)\right\}\eta_i \\
&- \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}i\hbar\left\{16\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)r_0^2 r^{-6}\phi_i - \frac{120}{N}r_0^2 r^{-6}\phi_i + \frac{496}{N}r_0^6 r^{-10}\phi_i\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j\right\}.\ (i=1,2)
\end{aligned}$$

The double commutator $\left[\left[\pi_i, T^{(0)}(\phi; r^2)\right], \phi_j\right] = 0$ is indispensable for our theory. To achieve the commutability, it is necessary that $\left[\pi_i, T^{(0)}(\phi; r^2)\right]$ depends only on the variable ϕ. For this aim, we demand that the first term η_i of the second line from the bottom in (4. 14) vanish on the $|\mathrm{coll.subspace}\rangle$. Then, $f(N)$ is settled as $f(N) = -N+1$. As mentioned before, the present approach essentially lies on the symplectic algebra $sp(2, R)$. In the ten generators of the algebra, in particular, here the generator $\sum_n(x_n p_{x_n} + y_n p_{y_n})$ is contracted as $\sum_n\langle x_n p_{x_n} + y_n p_{y_n}\rangle$ (4. 13). The present approach contrasts with the procedure followed in the contracted symplectic model (Castanös and Draayer [11]) in which all the generators are contracted. Using (4. 14), $f(N) = -N+1$, the first of (3. 2) and the second of (3. 4), and for the sake of simplicity discarding the contributions from effects by the terms $[\pi_i, r^{-6}]$ and $[\pi_i, r^{-10}]$, we get the following commutation relations:

$$\left[\pi_i, \left[\pi_j, T^{(0)}(\phi; r^2)\right]\right] = -\frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\hbar^2\left\{16\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)r_0^2 r^{-6}\delta_{ij} + \frac{4}{N}r_0^2 r^{-6}\delta_{ij} + 2\frac{496}{N}r_0^6 r^{-10}\phi_i\phi_j\right\}, \quad (4.\ 15)$$

$$\left[\pi_i, \left[\pi_j, \left[\pi_k, T^{(0)}(\phi; r^2)\right]\right]\right] = \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}i\hbar\hbar^2 2\frac{496}{N}r_0^6 r^{-10}(\delta_{ij}\phi_k + \phi_j\delta_{ik}), \quad (4.\ 16)$$

$$\left[\pi_i, \left[\pi_j, \left[\pi_k, \left[\pi_l, T^{(0)}(\phi; r^2)\right]\right]\right]\right] = \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\hbar^4 2\frac{496}{N}r_0^6 r^{-10}(\delta_{jk}\delta_{il} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}), \quad (4.\ 17)$$

$$\left[\pi_i\left[\pi_j, \left[\pi_k, \left[\pi_l, \left[\pi_m, T^{(0)}(\phi; r^2)\right]\right]\right]\right]\right] = 0, (i, j, k, l, m = 1, 2). \quad (4.\ 18)$$

In the derivation of (4. 15), we have used the approximate relation $\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i \approx \frac{1}{4}r_0^{-4}r^4$.

By a similar procedure to the previous one, we take the commutation relations between $T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2)$ expanded as (4. 6) with π_i:

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
&\left[\pi_i, T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2)\right] = -i\hbar C_i(r^2) - 2i\hbar\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{2} C_{2ij}(r^2)\phi_j - 3i\hbar\sum_{j,k=1}^{2} C_{3ijk}(r^2)\phi_j\phi_k + \cdots, \\
&\left[\pi_i, \left[\pi_j, T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2)\right]\right] = -2\hbar^2\left\{C_{2ij}(r^2) + 3\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{2} C_{3ijk}(r^2)\phi_k + 6\sum_{k,l=1}^{2} C_{4ijkl}(r^2)\phi_k\phi_l\right\} + \cdots, \\
&\left[\pi_i, \left[\pi_j, \left[\pi_k, T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2)\right]\right]\right] = 6i\hbar\hbar^2\left\{C_{3ijk}(r^2) + 4\textstyle\sum_{l=1}^{2} C_{4ijkl}(r^2)\phi_l\right\} + \cdots, \\
&\left[\pi_i, \left[\pi_j, \left[\pi_k, \left[\pi_l, T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2)\right]\right]\right]\right] = 24\hbar^4 C_{4ijkl}(r^2) + \cdots, \\
&\left[\pi_i, \left[\pi_j, \left[\pi_k, \left[\pi_l, \left[\pi_m, T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2)\right]\right]\right]\right]\right] = 0, (i,j,k,l,m = 1,2).
\end{aligned}\right\} \quad (4.\ 19)$$

Comparing (4. 19) with the last line of (4. 14) and with equations from (4. 15) to (4. 18) and using the approximate relation $\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i \approx \frac{1}{4}r_0^{-4}r^4$, C_n are determined as

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
&C_1(r^2) = 0, \\
&C_{2ij}(r^2) = \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\left\{8\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)r_0^2 r^{-6}\delta_{ij} + \frac{2}{N}r_0^2 r^{-6}\delta_{ij} + \frac{496}{N}r_0^6 r^{-10}\phi_i\phi_j\right\}, \\
&C_{3ijk}(r^2) = \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\frac{1}{3}\frac{496}{N}r_0^6 r^{-10}(\delta_{ij}\phi_k + \phi_j\delta_{ik}), \\
&C_{4ijkl}(r^2) = \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\frac{1}{3}\frac{124}{N}r_0^6 r^{-10}(\delta_{jk}\delta_{il} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}), \\
&C_n(r^2) = 0, \ (n \geq 5).
\end{aligned}\right\} \quad (4.\ 20)$$

Substituting (4. 20) into (4. 6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2) = C_0(r^2) \\
&+\textstyle\sum_{i,j=1}^{2}\frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\left\{8\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)r_0^2 r^{-6}\delta_{ij} + \frac{2}{N}r_0^2 r^{-6}\delta_{ij} + \frac{496}{N}r_0^6 r^{-10}\phi_i\phi_j\right\}\phi_i\phi_j \\
&+\textstyle\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{2}\frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\frac{1}{3}\frac{496}{N}r_0^6 r^{-10}(\delta_{ij}\phi_k + \phi_j\delta_{ik})\,\phi_i\phi_j\phi_k \\
&+\textstyle\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{2}\frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\frac{1}{3}\frac{124}{N}r_0^6 r^{-10}(\delta_{jk}\delta_{il} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl})\,\phi_i\phi_j\phi_k\phi_l + \cdots, \\
&= C_0(r^2) \\
&+\frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\left\{8\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)r_0^2 r^{-6}\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i + \frac{2}{N}r_0^2 r^{-6}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i + \frac{496}{N}r_0^6 r^{-10}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j\right\} \\
&+\frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\frac{2}{3}\frac{496}{N}r_0^6 r^{-10}\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j + \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\frac{2}{3}\frac{124}{N}r_0^6 r^{-10}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j + \cdots.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.\ 21)$$

From (4. 21), we obtain the final result in the following form:

$$T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2) = C_0(r^2) + \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}2\left(4-\frac{3}{N}\right)r_0^2 r^{-6}\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i + \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\frac{2728}{3N}r_0^6 r^{-10}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i\right)^2. \quad (4.\ 22)$$

The new kinetic energy term (4. 22) gives a strong anharmonicity in the vibrational regime.

4.3 Determination of constant term $C_0(r^2)$ and final expression for kinetic part T of Hamiltonian

In this Subsection, we determine the constant term $C_0(r^2)$. Using (4. 22) and (4. 5), $C_0(r^2)$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(r^2) &= T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2) - \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\left\{2\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{N}+\frac{31}{N}+\frac{5}{6}\frac{31}{N}\right\} r_0^{-2} r^{-2} \\ &= T + \frac{4\hbar^2}{\mu N r^2} - \frac{r^2}{2\mu N r_0^4}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\pi_i\pi_i - \frac{16\hbar^2 r_0^4}{\mu N r^6}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i + i\hbar\frac{2}{\mu N r^2}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\pi_i \\ &\quad - \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\left(2+\frac{166}{3N}\right) r_0^{-2} r^{-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4. 23}$$

Substituting (4. 11) and (4. 12) into (4. 23), $C_0(r^2)$ is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(r^2) &= T - \frac{r^2}{2\mu N r_0^4}\left\{\hbar^2 4 r_0^4 r^{-4} - \hbar^2 20 r_0^8 r^{-8}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \hbar^2 2 r_0^4 r^{-4}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) - \hbar^2 N r_0^4 r^{-2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_n^2}\right)\right\} \\ &\quad + i\hbar\frac{2}{\mu N r^2}\left\{-i\hbar\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) + i\hbar 2 r_0^4 r^{-4}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j\right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\frac{8}{N} r_0^{-2} r^{-2} - \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\frac{32}{N} r_0^2 r^{-6}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i - \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\left(2+\frac{166}{3N}\right) r_0^{-2} r^{-2}, \end{aligned} \tag{4. 24}$$

which is rearranged as

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(r^2) &= T + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_n^2}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\frac{2}{N} r_0^{-2} r^{-2}\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) - \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\frac{2}{N} r_0^{-2} r^{-2}\left\{2 - 10 r_0^4 r^{-4}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j\right\} - \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\frac{8}{N} r_0^2 r^{-6}\sum_{j=1}^{2}\phi_j\phi_j \\ &\quad + \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu} r_0^{-2} r^{-2}\left\{\frac{8}{N} - \frac{32}{N} r_0^4 r^{-4}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i - \left(2+\frac{166}{3N}\right)\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4. 25}$$

in the R.H.S. of the previous equation the terms in the first and second lines cancel each other. Using again the approximate relation $\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i \approx \frac{1}{4} r_0^{-4} r^4$, the constant term $C_0(r^2)$ is determined as

$$C_0(r^2) = -\frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\left(2+\frac{169}{3N}\right) r_0^{-2} r^{-2}. \tag{4. 26}$$

Thus, the final expression for the kinetic part T of the Hamiltonian is given as follows:

$$\left.\begin{aligned} T &= T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2) - \frac{4\hbar^2}{\mu N r^2} + \frac{r^2}{2\mu N r_0^4}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\pi_i\pi_i + \frac{16\hbar^2 r_0^4}{\mu N r^6}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i - i\hbar\frac{2}{\mu N r^2}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\pi_i, \\ T^{(0)}(\phi;r^2) &= C_0(r^2) + \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu} 2\left(4-\frac{3}{N}\right) r_0^2 r^{-6}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i + \frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\frac{2728}{3N} r_0^6 r^{-10}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\phi_i\phi_i\right)^2, \\ C_0(r^2) &= -\frac{\hbar^2 r_0^2}{2\mu}\left(2+\frac{169}{3N}\right) r_0^{-2} r^{-2}. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{4. 27}$$

As mentioned in the end of the previous section, we emphasize again that the total kinetic energy T including the term $T^{(0)}(\phi; r^2)$ gives a strong anharmonicity in the vibrational regime. Specifically, the coefficients of ϕ_1^2 or ϕ_2^2 may be negative. More explicitly, the quadratic form involving $\phi_1\phi_2$, ϕ_1^2 and ϕ_2^2 will not be positive definite.

To get the above final expression (4. 27), many approximations were made. In particular, the approximate mean-value $\sum_{n=1}^{N}\langle x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\rangle=f(N)$ in (4. 13) plays a crucial role to make the commutator $\left[\pi_i, T^{(0)}(\phi; r^2)\right]$ to be dependent only on the variables ϕ_1 and ϕ_2. The verification is made through the procedure: $\left\{\frac{1}{N}f(N)+\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right)\right\}\eta_i|\text{coll.subspace}\rangle=0\Rightarrow$ $f(N)=-N+1$. This manner means that such an approximation does not act directly on the $|\text{coll.subspace}\rangle$ but the coefficient of the operator makes to vanish. The other approximations are also made in the same way as the the above way.

5 Discussion and concluding remarks

As for the present two-dimensional nuclei, particularly, we study the structure of the collective subspace satisfying the subsidiary condition (3. 4). This subsidiary condition is important to investigate the structure of the collective subspace. We denote a wave function of the collective subspace $\langle \text{coll.subspace}|\Psi\rangle$ as $\Psi[\cdot]$. From now on, we investigate various possibilities satisfying the subsidiary condition. First, as a trial, let us consider a certain wave function belonging to a subspace of the Hilbert space. We express it as $\Phi[\cdot]$. On this wave function, we assume an **ansatz**: $\Phi[\cdot]=\Phi[r]$. Then on the $\Phi[r]$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\Phi[r]=\frac{1}{N}\frac{x_n}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Phi[r],\quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\Phi[r]=\frac{1}{N}\frac{y_n}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Phi[r],\quad \left(r=\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(x_n^2+y_n^2)}\right), \tag{5. 1}$$

Now we introduce an auxiliary operator $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}$ which is expressed as

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}=l-\boldsymbol{L}_{\text{coll}},\quad \boldsymbol{L}_{\text{coll}}\equiv 2(\phi_1\pi_2-\phi_2\pi_1). \tag{5. 2}$$

Substituting the explicit expression for the total angular momentum operator l and the second relation of (3. 5) into (5. 2), an action of the $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}$ onto the $\Phi[r]$ is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}\Phi[r]&=\left[\frac{\hbar}{i}\sum_n\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}-y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)-2r_0^2r^{-2}\{\phi_1(\eta_2+i\hbar 2r_0^2r^{-2}\phi_2)-\phi_2(\eta_1+i\hbar 2r_0^2r^{-2}\phi_1)\}\right]\Phi[r]\\
&=\frac{\hbar}{i}\left[\frac{1}{N}\frac{1}{r}\sum_n(x_ny_n-y_nx_n)-2r_0^2r^{-2}\left\{\phi_1\sum_n\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)-\phi_2\sum_n\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}-y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)\right\}\right]\Phi[r]\\
&=-\frac{\hbar}{i}2r_0^2r^{-2}\frac{1}{N}\frac{1}{r}\left[\phi_1\sum_n(x_ny_n+y_nx_n)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}-\phi_2\sum_n(x_n^2-y_n^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right]\Phi[r]\\
&=-\frac{\hbar}{i}4r_0^4r^{-3}\left[\phi_1\cdot\frac{1}{Nr_0^2}\sum_nx_ny_n\frac{\partial}{\partial r}-\phi_2\cdot\frac{1}{Nr_0^2}\sum_n\frac{1}{2}(x_n^2-y_n^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right]\Phi[r]\\
&=4i\hbar r_0^4r^{-3}[\phi_1\phi_2-\phi_2\phi_1]\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\Phi[r]=0,
\end{aligned} \tag{5. 3}$$

where we have used the relations (5. 1) and the collective coordinates (2. 2) and cojugate momenta (2. 4). The **ansatz** $\Phi[\cdot]=\Phi[r]$ is one of the possible solutions for the wave function of the collective subspace from a mathematical point a view. The variable r, however, is not a collective operator but an intrinsic operator. Second, on the $|\text{coll.subspace}\rangle$, the *exact* canonical momentum π_i is represented as $\dfrac{\hbar}{i}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\phi_i}$. Then, the subsidiary condition $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}\Psi[\cdot]=0$ on $\Psi[\phi_1,\phi_2]$ is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}\Psi[\phi_1,\phi_2]&=\left[\frac{\hbar}{i}\sum_n\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}-y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)-2\frac{\hbar}{i}\left(\phi_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_2}-\phi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_1}\right)\right]\Psi[\phi_1,\phi_2]\\
&=\frac{\hbar}{i}\left[\sum_n\left(x_n\frac{\partial\phi_1}{\partial y_n}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_1}+x_n\frac{\partial\phi_2}{\partial y_n}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_2}-y_n\frac{\partial\phi_1}{\partial x_n}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_1}-y_n\frac{\partial\phi_2}{\partial x_n}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_2}\right)-2\left(\phi_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_2}-\phi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_1}\right)\right]\Psi[\phi_1,\phi_2]\\
&=\frac{\hbar}{i}\left[\frac{1}{Nr_0^2}\sum_n\left(-x_ny_n\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_1}+x_n^2\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_2}-y_nx_n\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_1}-y_n^2\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_2}\right)-2\left(\phi_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_2}-\phi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_1}\right)\right]\Psi[\phi_1,\phi_2]=0,
\end{aligned} \tag{5. 4}$$

which shows $\Psi[\cdot]=\Psi[\phi_1,\phi_2]$ is a solution to the problem. Finally, on a space expressed by the microscopic degrees of freedom $\{(x_i,y_i)|i=1,\cdots,N\}$, the subsidiary condition is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\boldsymbol{L}}\Psi[x_1,y_1,...,x_n,y_n]=[l-2r_0^2r^{-2}\{\phi_1\eta_2-\phi_2\eta_1\}]\,\Psi[x_1,y_1,...,x_n,y_n]\\
&=\frac{\hbar}{i}\sum_n\left[\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}-y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)-2r_0^2r^{-2}\left\{\phi_1\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)-\phi_2\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}-y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\right\}\right]\Psi[x_1,y_1,...,x_n,y_n]
\end{aligned} \tag{5. 5}$$

$$=\frac{\hbar}{i}\sum_n\left[\{-y_n-2r_0^2r^{-2}(\phi_1-\phi_2)\,x_n\}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+\{x_n-2r_0^2r^{-2}(\phi_1+\phi_2)\,y_n\}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right]\Psi[x_1,y_1,...,x_n,y_n]=0.$$

Thus, we can obtain a differential equation in the microscopic variables $\{(x_i, y_i)|i=1\cdots, N\}$ only. This is just the differential equation we want when trying to construct the collective space from microscopic considerations only. As is clear from the structure of the equation (5. 5), the $\Phi[r]$ and $\Psi[\phi_1, \phi_2]$ are not general solutions of the differential equation. However, there may be other solutions than them to satisfy the subsidiary condition.

In this paper, the *exact* canonical momenta π_i to ϕ_i is derived by modifying the approximate momenta η_i. The commutativity of momenta π_i and π_j is shown under the subsidiary condition. Using the *exact* canonical variables ϕ_i and π_i, we found the collective Hamiltonian including the so-called phonon-phonon interaction under the replacement of operator r^2, which is not a collective variable, by an average value $\langle r^2\rangle = r_0^2$. In the simple two-dimensional nuclei, discussions of the couplings between the individual particle motion and the collective motion will be possible if we investigate in detail the collective subspace relating to the individual particle motion through the variable r^2. This work will be presented elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

S. N. would like to express his sincere thanks to Professor Constança Providência for kind and warm hospitality extended to him at the Centro de Física, Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal. This work was supported by FCT (Portugal) under the project CERN/FP/83505/2008.

Appendix

A Derivation of (4. 10)

We here derive the approximate relation (4. 10) for the case of η_1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\eta_1 = -i\hbar\sum_{n,n'=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\left(x_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}}-y_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}}\right)\\
&= -i\hbar\sum_{n,n'=1}^{N}\Bigg\{x_n\left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n},x_{n'}\right]+x_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}}-x_ny_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}}\\
&\qquad\qquad +y_nx_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}}-y_n\left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n},y_{n'}\right]+y_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}}\Bigg\}\\
&\approx -i\hbar\sum_{n,n'=1}^{N}\Bigg\{\langle x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\rangle x_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}}-\langle x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\rangle y_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}}\\
&\qquad\qquad +\langle y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\rangle x_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}}-\langle y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\rangle y_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}}\Bigg\}\\
&\quad -i\hbar\left(\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{N}x_n^2\sum_{n'=1}^{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}^2}-\sum_{n=1}^{N}y_n^2\sum_{n'=1}^{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}^2}\right)+\frac{\hbar}{i}\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}-y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\\
&= -i\hbar\left\{\frac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{N}(x_n^2-y_n^2)\sum_{n'=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}^2}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(x_n^2+y_n^2)\sum_{n'=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}^2}-\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}^2}\right)\right\}\\
&\quad +\eta_1+\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{N}\langle x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\rangle\frac{\hbar}{i}\sum_{n'=1}^{N}\left(x_n'\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n'}-y_n'\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n'}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.1}
$$

in which $\langle x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\rangle$ stands for the mean-value of the operator $\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)$ on the collective subspace $|\text{coll.subspace}\rangle$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\eta_1 = \eta_1+\sum_{n=1}^{N}\langle x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\rangle\eta_1\\
&\quad -i\hbar Nr_0^2\frac{1}{2}\phi_1\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n^2}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n^2}\right)-i\hbar\frac{1}{2}Nr_0^2\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n^2}-\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n^2}\right)\\
&= \eta_1+f(N)\eta_1+\frac{\mu}{\hbar^2}\frac{1}{2}Nr^2[\eta_1,T]-i\hbar Nr_0^2\phi_1\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n^2}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n^2}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.2}
$$

where we have used the first equation of (2. 2), (2. 3), the first equation of (2. 4) and (2. 6). Then we have the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\eta_1 &= \eta_1+f(N)\eta_1+\frac{\mu}{\hbar^2}\frac{1}{2}Nr^2[\eta_1,T]\\
&\quad -i\hbar Nr_0^2\phi_1\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n^2}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n^2}\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.3}
$$

In the above equations (A.2) and (A.3), we have used the second relation of (4. 13) for the unknown function $f(N)$.

Next we derive the approximate relation (4. 10) for the case of η_2.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\eta_2 = -i\hbar\sum_{n,n'=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\left(x_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}}+y_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}}\right)\\
&= -i\hbar\sum_{n,n'=1}^{N}\Bigg\{x_n\left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n},x_{n'}\right]+x_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}}+x_ny_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}}\\
&\qquad\qquad +y_nx_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}}+y_n\left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n},y_{n'}\right]+y_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}}\Bigg\}\\
&\approx -i\hbar\sum_{n,n'=1}^{N}\Bigg\{\langle x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\rangle x_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}}+\langle x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\rangle y_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}}\\
&\qquad\qquad +\langle y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\rangle x_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}}+\langle y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\rangle y_{n'}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}}\Bigg\} \qquad\text{(A.4)}\\
&\quad -i\hbar\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{N}x_ny_n\sum_{n'=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}^2}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}^2}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{N}(x_n^2+y_n^2)\sum_{n'=1}^{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}}\right\}\\
&\quad +\frac{\hbar}{i}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\\
&= -i\hbar\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{N}x_ny_n\sum_{n'=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}^2}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}^2}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(x_n^2+y_n^2)\sum_{n'=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n'}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n'}}\right)\right\}\\
&\quad +\eta_2+\sum_{n=1}^{N}\langle x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\rangle\frac{\hbar}{i}\sum_{n'=1}^{N}\left(x'_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x'_n}+y'_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y'_n}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

in which $\langle x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\rangle$ also stands for the mean-value of the operator $\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)$ on the collective subspace $|\text{coll.subspace}\rangle$. Then we reach the final goal

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\eta_2 = \eta_2+\sum_{n=1}^{N}\langle x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\rangle\eta_2\\
&\quad -i\hbar Nr_0^2\phi_2\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n^2}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n^2}\right)-i\hbar\frac{1}{2}Nr^2\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) \qquad\text{(A.5)}\\
&= \eta_2+f(N)\eta_2+\frac{\mu}{\hbar^2}\frac{1}{2}Nr^2[\eta_2,T]-i\hbar Nr_0^2\phi_2\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n^2}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n^2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the second equation of (2. 2), (2. 3), the second equation of (2. 4) and (2. 6). Finally, we obtain the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}+y_n\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right)\eta_2 &= \eta_2+f(N)\eta_2+\frac{\mu}{\hbar^2}\frac{1}{2}Nr^2[\eta_2,T]\\
&-i\hbar Nr_0^2\phi_2\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n^2}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n^2}\right).
\end{aligned} \qquad\text{(A.6)}$$

In the above equations (A.5) and (A.6), we also have used the second relation of (4. 13) for the $f(N)$. Both the equations (A.3) and (A.6) are combined into a single equation. Thus we can derive the approximate relation (4. 10).

References

[1] S. Tomonaga, Prog. Theor. Phys. **5** (1950) 544; **13** (1955) 467, 482.

[2] T. Marumori, Prog. Theor. Phys. **24** (1960) 331.

[3] S. Sunakawa, Y. Yoko-o and H. Nakatani, Prog. Theor. Phys. **27** (1962) 589, 600.

[4] S. Nishiyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **58** (1977) 1316; in *Collective Motion of Nuclei*, Report of the Pre-Symposium of Tokyo Conference (1977) pp.98-108.

[5] A. Bohr and B. Mottelson, *Nuclear Structure*, Volume II, W. A. Benjamin, 1974.

[6] J.M. Eisenberg and W. Greiner, *Nuclear Models*, North-Holland Physics Publishing, Elsevier Science Publisher Company, Inc. 1987.

[7] D.J. Rowe and J.L. Wood, *Fundamentals of Nuclear Models, Foundational Models*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2010.

[8] T. Miyazima and T. Tamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **15** (1956) 255.

[9] T. Tamura, Nuovo Cimento **4** (1956) 713.

[10] S. Nishiyama and João da Providencia, Nucl. Phys. **A923** (2014) 51.

[11] O. Castanös and J.P. Draayer, Nucl. Phys. **A491** (1989) 349.
G. Rosensteel and D.J. Rowe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 10.
R. Gilmore, *Lie Groups, Physics and Geometry, An Introduction for Physicists, Engineers and Chemists*, Cambridge, 2008.